

PATENT Attorney Docket No. 040894-5643

DEVANS

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Satoshi NAKAMURA et al.

Application No.: 09/805,934

Filed: March 15, 2001

)

Examiner: T. Dinh

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

NOISE PROTECTION SHEET

Sir:

In a Restriction Requirement dated December 27, 2001, the Examiner required restriction under 35 U.S.C. § 121 between the claims of Group I (Claims 1-5, drawn to a noise protection sheet on an electronic component) and Group II (Claim 6, drawn to a method for mounting a noise protection sheet on an electronic component). Applicants hereby provisionally elect to prosecute Claims 1-5 of Group I, directed to a noise protection sheet on an electronic component.

If there are any other fees due in connection with the filing of this response, please charge the fees to our Deposit Account No. 50-0310. If a fee is required for an extension of time

JAN 30 2002

Page 2

under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 not accounted for above, such extension is requested and the fee should also be charged to our Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

By:

Mary Jane Boswell

Registration No. 33,652

Dated: January 25, 2002

CUSTOMER NO. 009629 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004 202-739-3000